

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his assistance with the present application. No amendments have been made with the present response. Applicant notes the Examiner's communication and interview summary mailed out on September 16 and 17, 2010, respectively, wherein the Examiner indicated that "the time period is hereby reset" to the March 16, 2010 Office Action Applicant is responding to with this response. The Office Action issued by the Examiner and the citations referred to in the Office Action have been carefully considered.

As indicated in the interview summary mailed September 17, 2010, Applicant and the Examiner agreed to allowable subject matter in an interview dated August 24, 2010 and that the Examiner will issue an allowance upon submission of this response. Accordingly, Applicant reinstates the argument made during prosecution of the present application, including those arguments and amendments made in Applicant's Amendment filed January 15, 2010 and during the August 24, 2010 interview, where Applicant agreed to certain minor amendments. Applicant notes that this response is being filed, upon the Examiner's request, as a procedural matter to facilitate the Examiner being able to issue a Notice of Allowance.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 103

In paragraph 2 of page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1-4, 8-9, 16, 19, 22, 37, 48-51, 56-58, 65, 68, 71, 88 and 100 to 106 as being allegedly obvious in light Griswold et al. in combination with various other cited references. In response, Applicant restates the arguments made in Applicant's amendment filed January 15, 2010 and during the August 23, 2010 interview, where allowable subject matter was identified. For purposes of brevity, Applicant has not restated those responses again. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his assistance with this matter.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been successfully traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the application and allowance thereof is courteously solicited. If Examiner believes that the present application is not in a condition for allowance, Applicant respectfully requests that the

Examiner contact Applicant's attorney of record, Steve Hassid, to discuss the matter and, if possible, resolve any issues, through an Examiner's amendment.

In accordance with the Office Communication and Interview Summary of September 16, and September 17, 2010, Applicant believes that no fees are required with this response, as the response period has been reset.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 21, 2010

/Steve P. Hassid/

Steve P. Hassid

Reg. No. 46,472

Customer Number 96116
SILICON EDGE LAW GROUP LLP
1115 ½ Yale Street
Santa Monica, CA 90403
Phone: (310) 922-2206
Fax: (310) 634-1711
E-mail: steve@siliconedgelaw.com